

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 16-190V

Filed: October 14, 2016

UNPUBLISHED

\*\*\*\*\*

KATHRYN STACY,

\*

\*

Petitioner,

\*

v.

\*

SECRETARY OF HEALTH  
AND HUMAN SERVICES,

\*

Attorneys' Fees and Costs;  
Special Processing Unit ("SPU")

\*

\*

Respondent.

\*

\*

\*\*\*\*\*

*Ronald Homer, Conway, Homer & Chin-Caplan, P.C., Boston, M.A., for petitioner.  
Voris Johnson, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

### **DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

**Dorsey**, Chief Special Master:

On February 8, 2016, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA") as a result of receiving her October 15, 2014 influenza vaccination.. On July 22, 2016, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on respondent's proffer. (ECF No. 28).

On September 30, 2016, petitioner filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. (ECF No. 32). Petitioner requests attorneys' fees in the amount of \$20,383.50, attorneys' costs in the amount of \$1,754.46, and petitioner's out-of-pocket costs in the amount of \$203.15 for a total amount of \$22,341.11. *Id.* at 1. In compliance with

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

General Order #9, petitioner has filed a signed statement indicating petitioner incurred \$203.15 in out-of-pocket expenses.

On October 4, 2016, respondent filed a response to petitioner's motion. (ECF No. 34). Respondent argues that "[n]either the Vaccine Act nor Vaccine Rule 13 contemplates any role for respondent in the resolution of a request by a petitioner for an award of attorneys' fees and costs." *Id.* at 1. Respondent adds, however, that she "is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case." *Id.* at 2. Additionally, she "asserts that a reasonable amount for fees and costs in the present case would fall between \$12,000.00 to \$14,000.00" but provides no basis or explanation for how she arrived at this proposed range other than citing a number of prior cases. *Id.* at 3.

On October 13, 2016, petitioner filed a reply. (ECF No. 36). Petitioner argues that respondent has provided "no detailed objection" and disputes respondent's reliance on a selection of prior SIRVA cases on a number of grounds. (*Id.*)

The undersigned has reviewed the billing records submitted with petitioner's request. In the undersigned's experience, the request appears reasonable, and the undersigned finds no cause to reduce the requested hours or rates.

Additionally, petitioner requests attorneys' fees in the amount of \$410.00 for preparing the reply. (ECF No. 37.) The undersigned finds the request for additional hours spent preparing the reply to be reasonable and awards the full amount requested for preparation of the reply brief, \$410.00.<sup>3</sup> Thus, the total amount awarded for attorneys' fees and costs is \$22,751.11.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

**Accordingly, the undersigned awards the total of \$22,751.11<sup>4</sup> as follows:**

- **A lump sum of \$22,547.96, representing reimbursement for attorneys' fees and costs, in the form of a check payable jointly to petitioner and petitioner's counsel, Ronald Homer, Esq.; and**

---

<sup>3</sup> The undersigned may reduce the attorneys' fees sought for additional filings of a similar reply in other cases.

<sup>4</sup> This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See *generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

- **A lump sum of \$203.15, representing reimbursement for petitioner's costs, in the form of a check payable to petitioner.**

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.<sup>5</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Nora Beth Dorsey**  
Nora Beth Dorsey  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>5</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.